



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,372	09/24/2003	Roderick B. Jagos	024.0038	1857
29906	7590	03/30/2006	EXAMINER	
INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. 7150 E. CAMELBACK, STE. 325 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251			ADAMS, GREGORY W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/671,372	JAGOS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gregory W. Adams	3652	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Objections***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on Feb. 2, 2006 has been entered.

### ***Claim Objections***

Claims 5 & 16 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear if Applicant is claiming a second chock assembly (e.g. reference characters 106A and 106B) or is claiming an additional structure of chock assembly recited in claim 1. For examination purposes the latter was presumed. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 13-14 & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams (US 3,067,884).

With respect to claims 13 & 17, Williams discloses a trolley 10, curved portion 82, and hinge portion 79, 92, 93.

Art Unit: 3652

With respect to claim 14, Williams discloses a support bracket 1043 and trunnion 92, 93, 98.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-12 & 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellinthorpe (US 5,924,648) (previously cited) in view of Cooper (US 3,970,295).

With respect to claims 1, 8-9 & 15, Ellinthorpe discloses a rocket engine transport comprising trailer 10, tail support member 50, 52 and chock 44 and does not disclose a trolley. C9/L57-58. Cooper provides a trolley 14 such that pipe, e.g. long tubular bodies, can be precisely positioned and "held at an exact position lengthwise" without restricting accessibility unduly during fitting. C1/L10-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Ellinthorpe to include a trolley, as per the teachings of Cooper, for precise positioning of long circular bodies in the lengthwise direction. Further, with respect to configuring a chock to move along a long axis and pivot as a rocket engine is elevated Applicant is respectfully reminded that claim language consisting of functional language and/or intended use phrasing is given little, if any, patentable weight as the apparatus must merely be capable of functioning, or being used, as claimed. See MPEP 2112.02,

Art Unit: 3652

2114. Here, Ellinthorpe in view of Cooper is certainly capable of pivoting and movement.

With respect to claim 2, Ellinthorpe discloses a bearing assembly.

With respect to claim 3, Ellinthorpe discloses a cradle assembly comprising a support bracket.

With respect to claim 4, Ellinthorpe discloses a trunnion. C7/L3

With respect to claims 5 & 12, Ellinthorpe discloses a second bearing assembly and second support bracket.

With respect to claim 6, Ellinthorpe discloses a shaft.

With respect to claim 7, Ellinthorpe discloses a trailer 10, tail support member 50, chock 44, trolley, pair of bearing assemblies and pair of cradle assemblies comprising a support bracket and trunnion.

With respect to claim 10, Ellinthorpe discloses a bearing assembly 22a-b.

With respect to claim 11, Ellinthorpe discloses a support bracket and trunnion. C2/L30-35.

With respect to claim 16, Ellinthorpe discloses a trailer 10, tail support member 50, 52 and a chock assembly comprising a chock 44, pair of bearing assemblies, support brackets and trunnions, e.g. left and right hand sides, and does not disclose a trolley. C9/L57-58. Cooper provides a trolley 14 such that pipe, e.g. long tubular bodies, can be precisely positioned and "held at an exact position lengthwise" without restricting accessibility unduly during fitting. C1/L10-15. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 3652

apparatus of Ellinthorpe to include a trolley, as per the teachings of Cooper, for precise positioning of long circular bodies in the lengthwise direction.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Paraskewik (US 3,262,664) (previously cited) also discloses a trailer 11 having two chocks having cradles and brackets 10 and two trolleys that are positionable along longitudinal rails 13.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th., 8:00-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GWA



**EILEEN D. LILLIS**  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600